

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LIVEVIDEO.AI CORP

Plaintiff,

vs.

CIVIL ACTION

C.A. NO. 1:24-CV-06290

SHARI REDSTONE,
NATIONAL AMUSEMENTS, INC.,
CHRISTINE VARNEY,
MONICA SELIGMAN,

Defendant's.

OBJECTION TO FEBRUARY 27, 2025 ORDER

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(a) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A), Plaintiff Livevideo.AI Corp. ("Plaintiff") respectfully objects to the Court's February 27, 2025, Order (the "Order") (Dkt. 123) denying Plaintiff's requests for entry of default and which effectively terminates Plaintiff's ability to pursue its claims against these defendants. The Order is part of a pattern of asymmetrical treatment that has systematically disadvantaged Plaintiff while granting procedural advantages to Defendants. This uneven application of the rules violates fundamental principles of fairness and due process.

This objection is necessary because the Magistrate Judge's Order contains several clear errors of law and fact that substantially prejudice Plaintiff's rights. First, the Order improperly treats service of process determinations as non-dispositive matters within a Magistrate Judge's authority, when Second Circuit precedent establishes that such determinations are dispositive and require de novo review. Second, the Order erroneously concludes that service on Shari Redstone through Corporation Trust was ineffective, misapplying both Maryland and federal law. Third, the Order improperly denies Plaintiff's requests for entry of default against the individual defendants while simultaneously allowing defendants to proceed with their Rule 11 motion despite their ongoing violations of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1.¹ Finally, the Order fails to address Defendants' bad faith conduct

¹ The Plaintiff recently pointed out the February 26, 2025 FRCP Rule 7.1 certificate is defective. For instance, the most recent fifth amended defendant SEC S4 admits (i) NAI is controlled by another entity and such percentage ownership is greater than the FRCP 7.1 disclosure statement's 10% threshold (ii) the existence of the 80% parent of NAI is necessary to make regulatory filings stating:

" Lawrence Ellison, Sumner M. Redstone National Amusements Part B General Trust (also known as NA Part B General Trust), and Skydance filed HSR Act Notification and Report Forms on July 19, 2024 with respect to the Transactions

throughout this litigation, including their deliberate concealment of material information in SEC filings.

LEGAL STANDARD

A district court must modify or set aside a magistrate judge's order on non-dispositive matters that is "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). A magistrate's ruling is "clearly erroneous" when the reviewing court is "left with the definite and firm conviction that a mistake has been committed." *Easley v. Cromartie*, 532 U.S. 234, 242 (2001). A ruling is "contrary to law" when it "fails to apply or misapplies relevant statutes, case law or rules of procedure." *Thompson v. Keane*, No. 95 Civ. 2442, 1996 WL 229887, at *1 (S.D.N.Y. May 6, 1996).

that will bring entities controlled by the Ellison family into collective control of NAI and Paramount while merging Skydance into Paramount."

and this court may take judicial notice of the SEC and FCC filings:

NA Administration, LLC's "Commercial Broadcast Stations Non-Biennial Ownership Report (FCC Form 323) File Number: 0000245733 Submit Date: 2024-06-03", NA Administrative Trusts' "Commercial Broadcast Stations Non-Biennial Ownership Report (FCC Form 323) File Number: 0000245734 Submit Date: 2024-06-03", Sumner M. Redstone National Amusements Part B General Trust's "Commercial Broadcast Stations Biennial Ownership Report (FCC Form 323) File Number: 0000227410 Submit Date: 2023-11-21",

"NAI is controlled by the Sumner M. Redstone National Amusements Part B General Trust (also known as the NA Part B General Trust) (the "General Trust"), which owns 80% of the voting interest of NAI. NA Administration, LLC is the corporate trustee of the General Trust, and is governed by a seven member board of directors, which acts by majority vote (subject to certain exceptions), including with respect to the NAI shares held by the General Trust. Ms. Redstone is the Chairperson, CEO and President of NAI, is one of the seven directors of NA Administration, LLC" (page 18 Pluto Global February 12, 2025 S4, (AMENDMENT NO. 5 TO FORM S-4 REGISTRATION STATEMENT)). (attached as Exhibit #1 to Memorandum In Opposition To Rule 11 Sanctions)

BACKGROUND

On December 9, 2024, the Clerk entered default against NAI due to its failure to respond to the complaint (Dkt. 63). However, on December 11, NAI filed a letter-motion seeking to set aside the default (Dkt. 65), arguing it had not been served. Despite the lack of supporting affidavit or declaration, the Magistrate Judge vacated the default against NAI on December 18 (Dkt. 69).

On December 30, Plaintiff served Defendants Varney and Seligman by hand delivery. December 30, Defendants NAI and Redstone send 8 page single spaced Rule 11 Notice to Plaintiff waiving jurisdiction or acting to estop defendants from raising issue.² By February 11, 2025, Plaintiff sought leave to supplement its complaint (Dkt. 82) with federal securities law claims relating to Defendants' misleading SEC filings. The Magistrate Judge issued an order on February 12 following a show-cause hearing (Dkt. 87), staying Plaintiff's motion and setting a briefing schedule for NAI's anticipated Rule 11 motion.

Between February 18 and 19, Plaintiff requested Certificates of Default against Defendants Redstone, Varney, and Seligman for their failure to respond (Dkts. 92-108, 113). On February 19, Defendants NAI and Redstone opposed these requests through a letter-motion (Dkt. 109). Finally, on February 27, the Magistrate denied Plaintiff's requests for entry of default against all three individual defendants in the challenged Order.

LEGAL ARGUMENT

² "Corp. of Ireland, the U.S. Supreme Court said that "the requirement of personal jurisdiction may be intentionally waived, or for various reasons a defendant may be estopped from raising the issue . . . The actions of the defendant may amount to a legal submission to the jurisdiction of the court, whether voluntary or not." 456 U.S. at 704-0516; see also *Northbrook Bank & Trust Co. v. 300 Level, Inc.*, 37 N.E.3d 857, 863 (Ill. App. 2015) ("[A] defendant can validly waive service, even without filing.")

I. THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE EXCEEDED HER AUTHORITY BY MAKING DISPOSITIVE DETERMINATIONS REGARDING SERVICE OF PROCESS

The Magistrate Judge's Order exceeds her authority under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) because determinations regarding the sufficiency of service of process are dispositive matters that require de novo review by the district court. The Second Circuit has recognized that motions to dismiss for insufficient service of process are dispositive because they effectively terminate a claim. See *Williams v. Beemiller, Inc.*, 527 F.3d 259, 266 (2d Cir. 2008).

By denying Plaintiff's requests for entry of default based on determinations that service was ineffective, the Magistrate Judge has effectively dismissed Plaintiff's claims against the individual defendants. This is particularly true given:

1. The Magistrate Judge's prior denial of Plaintiff's oral motion for an extension of time to effect service under Rule 4(m);
2. Her erroneous determination that no defendants may be served with process after the Rule 4(m) deadline unless authorized by the Court;
3. The expiration of the 90-day service period under Rule 4(m); and
4. The denial of Plaintiff's request for an extension.

The combined effect of these rulings is to terminate Plaintiff's ability to pursue its claims against these defendants, a result that exceeds the Magistrate Judge's authority under § 636(b)(1)(A).

Moreover, the Magistrate Judge's Order is inextricably intertwined with her earlier ruling on the Rule 4(m) motion, which itself was dispositive in nature. A Rule 4(m) dismissal is the functional equivalent of a Rule 41(b) involuntary dismissal, which is expressly listed as a dispositive motion under § 636(b)(1)(A).

The proper procedure would have been for the Magistrate to issue a report and recommendation to the District Judge regarding both the Rule 4(m) motion and the

requests for entry of default. By failing to do so, the Magistrate has deprived Plaintiff of its right to de novo review by the District Judge on these dispositive matters.

II. THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S DETERMINATION THAT SERVICE WAS INEFFECTIVE IS CLEARLY ERRONEOUS AND CONTRARY TO LAW

A. Service on NAI Was Effective

The Magistrate Judge's finding that service on NAI was ineffective because Plaintiff served the "wrong pleading" is clearly erroneous. The record shows that on November 6, 2024, Plaintiff's process server delivered a summons and amended complaint to Corporation Trust Incorporated, NAI's registered agent in Maryland.

This finding is clearly erroneous for several reasons:

1. The document served on NAI provided adequate notice of the claims against it, which is the fundamental purpose of service of process. See *Henderson v. United States*, 517 U.S. 654, 672 (1996).

2. The Magistrate Judge failed to apply the liberal construction of service rules required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(c)(1).

3. NAI had actual notice of this action, as evidenced by its prompt appearance through counsel on December 11, 2024, just days after the default was entered.

4. Any technical differences between the served document and the operative complaint were not material to NAI's ability to respond to the claims against it.³

On September 13, 2024, the Plaintiff formally submitted its first amended complaint (Dkt 32), a fact further affirmed by Plaintiff's Reply to the OSC filed on the same day (Dkt 33). The Court, soon after, requested the Plaintiff to "re-file" Dkt

32 as it lacked the inclusion of all parties in the Electronic Court Filing (ECF).

Notably, on September 16, 2024, three days later, in ordering that Dkt 32 be "re-filed," the Court implicitly acknowledged that owing to a scrivener's error in the document title, which failed to prefix the word "FIRST" before "AMENDED COMPLAINT", it was indeed meant to be "its First Amended Complaint".

Plaintiff, LiveVideo.AI Corp., (hereinafter "Plaintiff" or "Live") hereby alleges, for its First Amended Complaint against Defendants, SHARI REDSTONE, NATIONAL AMUSEMENTS, INC., CHRISTINE VARNNEY, and MONICA SELIGMAN, and DOES 1-10 (hereinafter collectively the "Defendants"), as follows:

1. Plaintiff, LiveVideo.AI Corp. is a New York based Artificial Intelligence technology

(Dkt 32 page one image above) The first amended complaint and OSC Reply, Dkt No. 33, were included in this filing. However, the new and different amended complaint filed as Dkt 35 was not served on the defendants, as it was not the same September 3, 2024 signed complaint that was filed to meet the Magistrate's OSC deadline of September 13, 2024. Serving Dkt 35 would have contradicted Plaintiff's OSC Reply, which specifically references three paragraphs from Dkt 32 that were erroneously omitted from the incorrect amended complaint submitted as Dkt 35.

Dkt 32, the amended complaint served on the defendants, establishes jurisdiction under 1367 for supplemental jurisdiction. When the court ordered the re-filing of the September 13, 2024 Dkt 32 to include all defendants' names in the ECF system, Plaintiff mistakenly submitted a new version of Dkt 32. This new version, also titled as a first amended complaint and signed on September 3, 2024 like Dkt 32, had 49 out of 51 pages identical to Dkt 32. However, Dkt 35 failed to

amend the sections that Dkt 32's first amended complaint was intended to address, as promised to the Magistrate in the Reply to OSC filed the same day. These amendments included adding 1367 supplemental jurisdiction, 1391(b) instead of 1391(b)(2), and identifying 1331 jurisdiction for the first time.

Conversely, Dkt 35 reverses the amendments made in Dkt 32, which is the first amended complaint served on the defendants and the exact September 13, 2024 pleading that aligns with Plaintiff's Reply To Magistrate OSC filed on the same day. The Reply explicitly cites the new statutes 1391(b)(2), 1331, and 1367 for the first time, none of which appear in the erroneously filed Dkt 35 that was not served on the defendants.

Docket 35 is not the amended complaint referenced in the Magistrate's findings or the Plaintiff's Reply filed on September 13, 2024. The Plaintiff should benefit from the Magistrate's oversight of this non-conformity and avoid the error of retroactively creating an "operative" complaint through an Order (Dkt 47) concerning the denied Dkt 44 second amended complaint. The Plaintiff never read that Order, assuming Dkt 44's denial wouldn't transform it into a judgment making Dkt 35 the "operative" complaint without verifying compliance with the Court's September 16th Order to re-file Dkt 32, the original first amended complaint. This would make Dkt 47 a dispositive ruling, effectively ending the case. The Magistrate overlooks her own OSC Dkt 33 from September 11, 2024, and ignores the Plaintiff's successful response to the OSC (Dkt 33) before the Court's request to re-file Dkt 32 on September 16, 2024. Dkt 35, filed erroneously and not served, lacks the

jurisdiction basis in its "Jurisdiction And Venue" section. The Magistrate should adjust or amend the evidence to allow Dkt 32 to be re-filed as Dkt 35, as intended by both the Court and the Plaintiff. Notably, both Dkt 35's second amended complaint and Dkt 32 are 51 pages long, signed on September 3, 2024, with the same defendants and causes of action, differing only in three revised paragraphs on the first two pages. a "*technical violation of the rule*" or a "*failure of strict compliance may not invalidate the service of process*" when a defendant has received actual notice of a case. *Armco, Inc. v. Penrod-Stauffer Bldg. Sys., Inc.*, 733 F.2d 1087, 1089 (4th Cir. 1984).

B. Service on Shari Redstone Was Effective

The Magistrate Judge's finding that service on Shari Redstone was ineffective is also clearly erroneous and contrary to law. The Magistrate Judge found that delivery to Corporation Trust did not constitute good service on Ms. Redstone because Corporation Trust was not authorized to accept service on her behalf.

This finding is contrary to Maryland law for the following reasons:

1. Md. Code Ann., Corps. & Ass'ns § 1-401(b)(2) provides that service on a corporation's resident agent constitutes service on the corporation's officers and directors in certain circumstances.

2. As President of NAI and Chairperson of Paramount Global, Ms. Redstone is an "officer of a corporation" within the meaning of § 1-401(b)(2).

3. Service on Ms. Redstone through Corporation Trust was also effective under Rule 4(e)(2)(C), which permits service on an individual by delivering a copy of the summons and complaint to an "agent authorized by appointment or by law to receive service of process."

4. The Magistrate Judge's reliance on Md. Code Ann., Cts. & Jud. Proc. § 6-102.1(b) is misplaced, as it applies specifically to directors, not officers like Ms. Redstone.

C. Service on Defendants Varney and Seligman Was Effective

The Magistrate Judge's conclusion that service on defendants Varney and Seligman was ineffective is also clearly erroneous. The Order incorrectly states that Plaintiff "failed to follow up with the required mailing" under N.Y.C.P.L.R. § 308(2).

This finding is erroneous for the following reasons:

1. The finding is not supported by the record. Plaintiff's process server attested that she served both defendants by hand delivery to their respective places of business.

2. The Order assumes, without evidence, that Plaintiff did not complete the follow-up mailing required by § 308(2).

3. This assumption is contrary to the presumption of regularity that attaches to a process server's sworn statement. See *De Curtis v. Ferrandina*, 529 Fed. Appx. 85, 85-86 (2d Cir. 2013).

4. To rebut this presumption, Defendants must provide affidavits denying receipt of the follow-up mailing, which they have not done.

5. Even if there was a technical defect in service, it should not render service ineffective where defendants had actual notice of the action through their counsel's active participation.

III. THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S APPLICATION OF RULE 4(m) WAS CLEARLY ERRONEOUS

The Magistrate Judge's application of Rule 4(m) to deny the validity of service on Defendants Varney and Seligman is clearly erroneous because:

1. The Court never formally dismissed the action under Rule 4(m), making the December 16, 2024 deadline a nullity.

2. Rule 4(m) provides that "if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure [to serve within 90 days], the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period."

3. The Magistrate Judge failed to address whether good cause existed for Plaintiff's delay in serving defendants Varney and Seligman.

4. Good cause clearly existed, including:

- a. Plaintiff's active engagement in litigation regarding service on NAI
- b. Practical difficulties in locating and serving individual defendants
- c. Confusion caused by inconsistent rulings regarding the operative complaint

5. Even absent good cause, the Magistrate Judge had discretion to extend the time for service, which she failed to consider.

6. The Magistrate Judge failed to consider the prejudice to Plaintiff from dismissal, as required by *Zapata v. City of New York*, 502 F.3d 192, 197 (2d Cir. 2007).

IV. THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S DENIAL OF DEFAULT ENTRIES IS CONTRARY TO LAW AND CLEARLY ERRONEOUS

The Magistrate Judge's denial of Plaintiff's requests for entry of default against defendants Redstone, Varney, and Seligman is contrary to law and clearly erroneous for the following reasons:

1. Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(a), the clerk must enter a default when a party has failed to plead or otherwise defend, as demonstrated by affidavit or otherwise.

2. Plaintiff submitted affidavits showing that defendants were properly served but failed to respond within the required timeframe.

3. The Magistrate Judge improperly prejudged potential Rule 55(c) motions by denying the requests based on speculation that defaults would later be set aside.

4. This approach deprived Plaintiff of its right to seek entry of default in the first instance and improperly merged the distinct steps of entry of default under Rule 55(a) and setting aside default under Rule 55(c).

5. The Magistrate Judge's reliance on Local Civil Rule 55.1 to deny the entry of default is misplaced, as it does not provide an independent basis for denial where the substantive requirements of Rule 55(a) are met.

6. The proper procedure under Rule 55 requires the clerk to enter defaults based on affidavits of service and defendants' failure to respond, allowing defendants to move to vacate those defaults if they wish to contest service or raise other defenses.

7. By preemptively denying the requests for entry of default, the Magistrate Judge improperly deprived Plaintiff of critical procedural protections afforded by Rule 55 and violated basic principles of adversarial justice.

V. THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S STAY OF PLAINTIFF'S PENDING MOTIONS EXCEEDS HER AUTHORITY AND PREJUDICES PLAINTIFF

The Magistrate Judge's February 12, 2025 Order staying Plaintiff's pending motions, including its motion for leave to file a supplemental pleading, exceeds her authority and prejudices Plaintiff's ability to pursue its claims for the following reasons:

1. The motion to supplement seeks to add federal securities law claims based on Defendants' allegedly false and misleading SEC filings.

2. These claims are time-sensitive and directly related to the ongoing Paramount-Skydance merger.

3. The stay creates an asymmetrical procedural posture by allowing NAI to proceed with its Rule 11 motion while preventing Plaintiff from pursuing potentially meritorious federal claims.

4. The determination to stay Plaintiff's motion for leave to supplement is effectively dispositive, as it prevents Plaintiff from amending its pleading to add claims that may be subject to statutes of limitations or other time constraints.

5. This exceeds the Magistrate Judge's authority under § 636(b)(1)(A).

CONCLUSION

These errors have fundamentally prejudiced Plaintiff's ability to pursue its claims against defendants who have engaged in a coordinated strategy to evade service while continuing to make public misrepresentations about the status of this litigation in SEC filings and FCC proceedings. The threshold inquiry for proper service under Rule 4 is whether the defendants received actual notice of the proceedings. The evidence clearly demonstrates that all defendants had actual notice of this action, including defendant Redstone sending Plaintiff a Rule 11 Sanctions Safe Harbor Letter on December 30, 2024 which confirms her indepth reviews of the "allegations and claims asserted against the NAI Defendants in the Complaint and every version of the complaint filed to date are frivolous." yet they have engaged in procedural gamesmanship to avoid addressing the merits of Plaintiff's claims. The Order rewards this conduct while prejudicing Plaintiff's ability to present its case. The Order should be modified to lift the denial of default entries, reinstate the default against NAI, and permit Plaintiff to proceed with its claims against all defendants.

Dated this 12th day of March, 2025.

CONSTANTS LAW OFFICES, LLC

By /s/ Alfred C. Constants III

Alfred C. Constants III, Esq.
Constants Law Offices, LLC.
115 Forest Ave., Unit 331
Locust Valley, NY 11560
Email: Constantslaw49@gmail.com
Attorney For Plaintiff

Exhibit

1

EH-BCM Document 126 Filed 03/

and enhanced change of control severance benefits on certain qualifying terminations following the Closing.

Furthermore, the New Paramount Board will consist of up to 13 members designated by Skydance prior to the Closing, including David Ellison.

Additionally, pursuant to the NAI Transaction, the NAI Equity Investors agreed to purchase, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the NAI Stock Purchase Agreement, all of the outstanding equity interests of NAI from the NAI Shareholders. As a beneficiary of the NAI Shareholders, Ms. Redstone will receive a portion of the proceeds from the NAI Transaction. Ms. Redstone is the non-executive Chair of the Paramount Board. As of December 31, 2024, Ms. Redstone was the beneficial owner of 52,226 cash-settled Paramount Class A common stock phantom units, 61,920 cash-settled Paramount Class B common stock phantom units, and 617,409 shares of Paramount Class B common stock, which includes 177,326 vested, deferred Paramount RSU Awards. Ms. Redstone is a beneficiary of the NAI Shareholders, and as of December 31, 2024, NAI beneficially owned, directly and through two wholly-owned subsidiaries, approximately 77.4% of the Paramount Class A common stock outstanding and approximately 9.5% of the Paramount Class A common stock and Paramount Class B common stock outstanding on a combined basis. NAI is controlled by the Sumner M. Redstone National Amusements Part B General Trust (also known as the NA Part B General Trust) (the "General Trust"), which owns 80% of the voting interest of NAI. NA Administration, LLC is the corporate trustee of the General Trust, and is governed by a seven member board of directors, which acts by majority vote (subject to certain exceptions), including with respect to the NAI shares held by the General Trust. Ms. Redstone is the Chairperson, CEO and President of NAI, is one of the seven directors of NA Administration, LLC and one of two directors who are beneficiaries of the General Trust. Ms. Redstone also has a minority indirect beneficial interest in the Paramount Class A common stock and the Paramount Class B common stock owned by NAI (and its wholly-owned subsidiaries).

In connection with the NAI Transaction, (i) the NAI Shareholders, (ii) certain directors and officers of NAI, including Ms. Redstone in her capacity as Chairperson, CEO and President of NAI (such individuals, the "NAI D&O Indemnitees") and (iii) NAI and NAI/HH entered into an indemnification and contribution agreement, which terminated and superseded certain existing indemnification arrangements among the parties thereto and provided the NAI Shareholders and the NAI D&O Indemnitees with certain indemnification rights relating to the Transactions and the NAI Transaction from NAI and NAI/HH capped at a maximum of \$200 million. Affiliates of the NAI Equity Investors have guaranteed the payment and performance of the foregoing indemnification obligations of NAI and NAI/HH, subject to the limitations set forth in the indemnification and contribution agreement.

For further information, see the sections entitled "*Interests of Affiliates in the Transactions—Interests of Paramount Affiliates in the Transactions—Interests of Paramount's Executive Officers and Directors in the Transactions*" and "*Risk Factors—Risks Relating to the Transactions—Executive officers, directors and affiliates of Paramount and Skydance may have interests in the Transactions that are different from, or in addition to, the rights of the Paramount stockholders and Skydance equityholders, respectively*" beginning on pages 286 and 56, respectively, of this information statement/prospectus.

Q: Will I still be paid dividends prior to the completion of the Transactions?

- A:** Prior to the completion of the Transactions, Paramount may establish a record date for, declare and pay (a) quarterly cash dividends consistent with past practice, each in an amount no greater than \$0.05 per share of Paramount common stock and (b) mandatory dividends or distributions required pursuant to its organizational documents as in effect on July 7, 2024, if any. For more information regarding the payment of dividends, see the section entitled "*Summary of the Transaction Agreement—Covenants and Agreements—Conduct of Business by Paramount*" beginning on page 191 of this information statement/prospectus.



(REFERENCE COPY - Not for submission)

Commercial Broadcast Stations Non-Biennial Ownership Report (FCC Form 323)

File Number: 0000245733 Submit Date: 2024-06-03 FRN: 0035486323

Purpose: Commercial Broadcast Stations Non-Biennial Ownership Report Status: Received
06/03/2024 Filing Status: Active

Section I - General Information

1. Respondent

FRN	Entity Name
0035486323	NA Administration, LLC

Street Address	City (and Country if non U.S. address)	State ("NA" if non-U.S. address)	Zip Code	Phone
c/o Quarles & Brady LLP 1395 Panther Lane, Suite 300	Naples	FL	34109	+1 (239) 4965

2. Contact Representative

Name	Organization
Matthew S. DeNero	Covington & Burling LLP

Street Address	City (and Country if non U.S. address)	State	Zip Code	Phone
One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW	Washington	DC	20001	+1 (202) 661

3. Application Filing Fee

Not Applicable

When filing a biennial owner and resubmitting a prior biennial report, the filing date must be Oct. 1 of the year in which the report is filed.

**5. Licensee(s)
/Permittees(s)
and Station(s)
/Permit(s)**

Respondent is filing this report to cover the following Licensee(s)/Permittee(s) and

Licensee/Permittee Name	FRN
CBS Broadcasting Inc.	000348211

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City
9610	WCBS-TV	NEW YORK
9617	WBBM-TV	CHICAGO
9628	KCBS-TV	LOS ANGELES
9629	WCCO-TV	MINNEAPOLIS
9640	KCCW-TV	WALKER
25452	KPIX-TV	SAN FRANCISCO
25453	KYW-TV	PHILADELPHIA
25454	KDKA-TV	PITTSBURGH
72123	WWJ-TV	DETROIT

Licensee/Permittee Name	FRN
CBS Television Stations Inc.	0004425

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
47902	WFOR-TV	MIAMI	FL
47903	KCNC-TV	DENVER	CO

Licensee/Permittee Name	FRN
Miami Television Station WBFS Inc.	00

Licensee/Permittee Name

Los Angeles Television Station KCAL LLC

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	St
21422	KCAL-TV	LOS ANGELES	C

Licensee/Permittee Name

FR

Sacramento Television Stations Inc.

00

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	S
51499	KMAX-TV	SACRAMENTO	C
56550	KOVR	STOCKTON	C

Licensee/Permittee Name

San Francisco Television Station KBCW Inc.

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	S
69619	KPYX	SAN FRANCISCO	(

Licensee/Permittee Name

FF

Atlanta Television Station WUPA Inc.

0X

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
6900	WUPA	ATLANTA	GA

Licensee/Permittee Name

FRN

Television Station KTXA Inc.

0002057

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	Str
51517	KTXA	FORT WORTH	T)

Licensee/Permittee Name

Pittsburgh Television Station WPCW Inc.

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
51570	WKBD-TV	DETROIT	MI

Licensee/Permittee Name	FRN
CBS LITV LLC	00213551

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
73206	WLNY-TV	RIVERHEAD	NY

Licensee/Permittee Name
Philadelphia Television Station WPSG Inc.

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
12499	WPSG	PHILADELPHIA	P.

Licensee/Permittee Name	FRN
The CW Television Stations Inc.	0003

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
23428	KSTW	TACOMA	WA

Licensee/Permittee Name	FRN
CBS Stations Group of Texas LLC.	00

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
23422	KTVT	FORT WORTH	TX

Section II – Non-Biennial Ownership Information

1. 47 C.F.R. Section 73.3613 and Other

Licensee/Permittee Respondents should list all contracts and other instruments set forth in 47 C.F.R. 73.3613(c) for the facility or facilities listed on this report. In addition, attributable Local Marketing Agreements (LMAs), attributable Joint Sales Agreements (JSAs) must be disclosed by the licensee of the brokering station. If the agreement is an attributable LMA, an attributable JSA, or a network affiliation agreement, the

Entities are required to submit a consolidated report that includes holding companies or other non-separate ownership reports. In such a structure do not report, or file a separate report for, any in an attributable interest in the Licensee(s) or Permittee(s) for which the report is being submitted.

Please see the instructions for further detail concerning interests that must be reported in response.

The Respondent must provide an FCC Registration Number for each interest holder reported in response. Please see the instructions for detailed information and guidance concerning this requirement.

Ownership Information

FRN	0035486323	
Entity Name	NA Administration, LLC	
Address	PO Box	
	Street 1	c/o Quarles & Brady LLP
	Street 2	1395 Panther Lane, Suite 300
	City	Naples
	State ("NA" if non-U.S. address)	FL
	Zip/Postal Code	34109
	Country (if non-U.S. address)	United States
Listing Type	Respondent	
Positional Interests (check all that apply)	Respondent	
Interest Percentages (enter percentage values from 0.0 to 100.0)	Voting	0.0%
	Total assets (Equity Debt Plus)	0.0%
Does interest holder have an attributable interest in one or more broadcast stations that do not appear on this report?		

Ownership Information

FRN	0019287812	
Name	Shari Redstone	
Address	PO Box	

Positional Interests (check all that apply)	Director	
Interest Percentages (enter percentage values from 0.0 to 100.0)	Voting	14.3%
	Total assets (Equity Debt Plus)	0.0%
Does interest holder have an attributable interest in one or more broadcast stations that do not appear on this report?		

Ownership Information

FRN	0023078280	
Name	Tyler J. Korff	
Address	PO Box	
	Street 1	846 University Avenue
	Street 2	
	City	Norwood
	State ("NA" if non-U.S. address)	MA
	Zip/Postal Code	02062-2631
	Country (if non-U.S. address)	United States
Listing Type	Other Interest Holder	
Positional Interests (check all that apply)	Director	
Interest Percentages (enter percentage values from 0.0 to 100.0)	Voting	14.3%
	Total assets (Equity Debt Plus)	0.0%
Does interest holder have an attributable interest in one or more broadcast stations that do not appear on this report?		

Ownership Information

FRN	0019283480
------------	------------

Listing Type Positional Interests (check all that apply)	Other Interest Holder Director	
Interest Percentages (enter percentage values from 0.0 to 100.0)	Voting	14.3%
	Total assets (Equity Debt Plus)	0.0%
Does interest holder have an attributable interest in one or more broadcast stations that do not appear on this report?		

Ownership Information

FRN	2130017771	
Name	Norman I. Jacobs	
Address	PO Box	
	Street 1	846 University Avenue
	Street 2	
	City	Norwood
	State ("NA" if non-U.S. address)	MA
	Zip/Postal Code	02062-2631
	Country (if non-U.S. address)	United States
Listing Type	Other Interest Holder	
Positional Interests (check all that apply)	Director	
Interest Percentages (enter percentage values from 0.0 to 100.0)	Voting	14.3%
	Total assets (Equity Debt Plus)	0.0%
Does interest holder have an attributable interest in one or more broadcast stations that do not appear on this report?		

Ownership Information

Listing Type	Other Interest Holder	
Positional Interests (check all that apply)	Director	
Interest Percentages (enter percentage values from 0.0 to 100.0)	Voting	14.3%
	Total assets (Equity Debt Plus)	0.0%
Does interest holder have an attributable interest in one or more broadcast stations that do not appear on this report?		

Ownership Information

FRN	0027240225	
Name	Jill S. Krutick	
Address	PO Box	
	Street 1	846 University Avenue
	Street 2	
	City	Norwood
	State ("NA" if non-U.S. address)	MA
	Zip/Postal Code	02062-2631
	Country (if non-U.S. address)	United States
Listing Type	Other Interest Holder	
Positional Interests (check all that apply)	Director	
Interest Percentages (enter percentage values from 0.0 to 100.0)	Voting	14.3%
	Total assets (Equity Debt Plus)	0.0%
Does interest holder have an attributable interest in one or more broadcast stations that do not appear on this report?		

Zip/Postal Code		02062-2831
Country (if non-U.S. address)		United States
Listing Type	Other Interest Holder	
Positional Interests (check all that apply)	Director	
Interest Percentages (enter percentage values from 0.0 to 100.0)	Voting	14.3%
	Total assets (Equity Debt Plus)	0.0%
Does interest holder have an attributable interest in one or more broadcast stations that do not appear on this report?		

Ownership Information

FRN	0035511716	
Entity Name	NA Administrative Trust	
Address	PO Box	
	Street 1	c/o Quarles & Brady LLP
	Street 2	1395 Panther Lane, Suite 300
	City	Naples
	State ("NA" if non-U.S. address)	FL
	Zip/Postal Code	34109
	Country (if non-U.S. address)	United States
Listing Type	Other Interest Holder	
Positional Interests (check all that apply)	Stockholder	
Interest Percentages (enter percentage values from 0.0 to 100.0)	Voting	0.0%
	Total assets (Equity Debt Plus)	0.0%

FRN	0019287812	Name	Shan
FRN	0023078280	Name	Tyler
Relationship	Parent/Child		

(d) Is Respondent seeking an attribution exemption for any officer or director with duties wholly unrelated to the Licensee(s)?

If "Yes," complete the information in the required fields and submit an Exhibit fully describing that individual's duties and responsibilities, and explaining why that individual should not be attributed an interest.

Certification

Section	Question	Response
Authorized Party to Sign	WILLFUL FALSE STATEMENTS ON THIS FORM ARE PUNISHABLE BY FINE AND/OR IMPRISONMENT (U.S. CODE, TITLE 18, SECTION 1001), AND /OR REVOCATION OF ANY STATION LICENSE --OR CONSTRUCTION PERMIT (U.S. CODE, TITLE 47, SECTION 312(a)(1)), AND/OR FORFEITURE (U.S. CODE, TITLE 47, SECTION 503).	
Certification	I certify that I have examined this report and that to the best of my knowledge and belief, all statements in this report are true, correct and complete.	Official Title: Dir Exact Legal Title Administration, Name: Tyler Kor Phone: 78146116 06/03/2024



(REFERENCE COPY - Not for submission)

Commercial Broadcast Stations Non-Biennial Ownership Report (FCC Form 323)

File Number: 0000245734 | Submit Date: 2024-06-03 | FRN: 0035486323

Purpose: Commercial Broadcast Stations Non-Biennial Ownership Report | Status: Received
06/03/2024 | Filing Status: Active

Section I - General Information

1. Respondent

FRN	Entity Name
0035511716	NA Administrative Trust

Street Address	City (and Country if non U.S. address)	State ("NA" if non-U.S. address)	Zip Code	Phone
c/o Quarles & Brady LLP 1395 Panther Lane, Suite 300	Naples	FL	34109	+1 (239) 4965

2. Contact Representative

Name	Organization
Matthew S. DelNero	Covington & Burling LLP

Street Address	City (and Country if non U.S. address)	State	Zip Code	Phone
One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW	Washington	DC	20001	+1 (202) 662

3. Application Filing Fee

Not Applicable

When filing a biennial owner and resubmitting a prior biennial date must be Oct. 1 of the year filed.

**5. Licensee(s)
/Permittees(s)
and Station(s)
/Permit(s)**

Respondent is filing this report to cover the following Licensee(s)/Permittee(s) and

Licensee/Permittee Name	FRN
CBS Broadcasting Inc.	000348216

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City
9610	WCBS-TV	NEW YORK
9617	WBBM-TV	CHICAGO
9628	KCBS-TV	LOS ANGELES
9629	WCCO-TV	MINNEAPOLIS
9640	KCCW-TV	WALKER
25452	KPIX-TV	SAN FRANCISCO
25453	KYW-TV	PHILADELPHIA
25454	KDKA-TV	PITTSBURGH
72123	WWJ-TV	DETROIT

Licensee/Permittee Name	FRN
CBS Television Stations Inc.	0004426

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
47902	WFOR-TV	MIAMI	FL
47903	KCNC-TV	DENVER	CO

Licensee/Permittee Name	FRN
Miami Television Station WBFS Inc.	00

Licensee/Permittee Name

Los Angeles Television Station KCAL LLC

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	St
21422	KCAL-TV	LOS ANGELES	C

Licensee/Permittee Name

FR

Sacramento Television Stations Inc.

00

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	S
51499	KMAX-TV	SACRAMENTO	C
56550	KOVR	STOCKTON	C

Licensee/Permittee Name

San Francisco Television Station KBCW Inc.

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	S
69619	KPYX	SAN FRANCISCO	C

Licensee/Permittee Name

FF

Atlanta Television Station WUPA Inc.

01

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
6900	WUPA	ATLANTA	GA

Licensee/Permittee Name

FRN

Television Station KTXA Inc.

0002057

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	Sta
51517	KTXA	FORT WORTH	T>

Licensee/Permittee Name

Pittsburgh Television Station WPCW Inc.

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
51570	WKBD-TV	DETROIT	MI

Licensee/Permittee Name	FRN
CBS LITV LLC	002135517

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
73206	WLNY-TV	RIVERHEAD	NY

Licensee/Permittee Name
Philadelphia Television Station WPSG Inc.

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
12499	WPSG	PHILADELPHIA	PA

Licensee/Permittee Name	FRN
The CW Television Stations Inc.	0003

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
23428	KSTW	TACOMA	WA

Licensee/Permittee Name	FRN
CBS Stations Group of Texas LLC.	000

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
23422	KTVT	FORT WORTH	TX

Section II – Non-Biennial Ownership Information

1. 47 C.F.R. Section 73.3613 and Other

Licensee/Permittee Respondents should list all contracts and other instruments set forth in 47 C through (c) for the facility or facilities listed on this report. In addition, attributable Local Marketing attributable Joint Sales Agreements (JSAs) must be disclosed by the licensee of the brokering of the agreement is an attributable (MA, an attributable ISA, or a network affiliation agreement, etc.

Entities that are part of a non-financial structure that includes hold-in companies or other non-separate ownership reports, such as a trust or estate, report, or file a separate report for, only an attributable interest in the Licensee(s) or Permittee(s) for which the report is being submitted.

Please see the Instructions for further detail concerning interests that must be reported in response.

The Respondent must provide an FCC Registration Number for each interest holder reported in this report. Please see the Instructions for detailed information and guidance concerning this requirement.

Ownership Information

FRN	0035511716	
Entity Name	NA Administrative Trust	
Address	PO Box	
	Street 1	c/o Quarles & Brady LLP
	Street 2	1395 Panther Lane, Suite 300
	City	Naples
	State ("NA" if non-U.S. address)	FL
	Zip/Postal Code	34109
	Country (if non-U.S. address)	United States
Listing Type	Respondent	
Positional Interests (check all that apply)	Respondent	
Interest Percentages (enter percentage values from 0.0 to 100.0)	Voting	0.0%
	Total assets (Equity Debt Plus)	0.0%
Does interest holder have an attributable interest in one or more broadcast stations that do not appear on this report?		

Ownership Information

FRN	0035486323	
Entity Name	NA Administration, LLC	
Address	PO Box	

Additional Interests (check all that apply)	Other - Trusts	
Interest Percentages (enter percentage values from 0.0 to 100.0)	Voting	100.0%
	Total assets (Equity Debt Plus)	

Does interest holder have an attributable interest in one or more broadcast stations that do not appear on this report?

(b) Respondent certifies that any interests, including equity, financial, or voting interests, not reported in this filing are non-attributable.

If "No," submit as an exhibit an explanation.

(c) Are any of the individuals listed as an attributable interest holder in the Respondent or related to each other as parent/child or as siblings?

If "Yes," provide the following information for each such relationship.

(d) Is Respondent seeking an attribution exemption for any officer or director with duties wholly unrelated to the Licensee(s)?

If "Yes," complete the information in the required fields and submit an Exhibit fully describing that individual's duties and responsibilities, and explaining why that individual should not be attributed an interest.

Certification

Section	Question	Response
Authorized Party to Sign	WILLFUL FALSE STATEMENTS ON THIS FORM ARE PUNISHABLE BY FINE AND/OR IMPRISONMENT (U.S. CODE, TITLE 18, SECTION 1001), AND/OR REVOCATION OF ANY STATION LICENSE --OR CONSTRUCTION PERMIT (U.S. CODE, TITLE 47, SECTION 312(a)(1)), AND/OR FORFEITURE (U.S. CODE, TITLE 47, SECTION 503).	
Certification	I certify that I have examined this report.	Official Title: Director



(REFERENCE COPY - Not for submission)

**Commercial Broadcast Stations Biennial
Ownership Report (FCC Form 323)**

File Number: 0000227410 | Submit Date: 2023-11-21 | FRN: 0029958717

Purpose: **Commercial Broadcast Stations Biennial Ownership Report** | Status: **Received**Filing Status: **Active****Section I - General Information****1. Respondent**

FRN	Entity Name
0029958717	Sumner M. Redstone National Amusements Part B General Tr

Street Address	City (and Country if non U.S. address)	State ("NA" if non-U. S. address)	Zip Code	Phone	Email
846 University Avenue	Norwood	MA	02062-2631	+1 (781) 461-1600	lma.com

2. Contact Representative

Name	Organization
Nancy A. Ory	Lerman Senter PLLC

Street Address	City (and Country if non U.S. address)	State	Zip Code	Phone
2001 L Street, NW Suite 400	Washington	DC	20036	+1 (202) 416-6791

3. Application Filing Fee

Not Applicable

4. Nature of Respondent

(a) Provide the following information about the Respondent:

Relationship to stations/permits	Entity required to file a Form 3 attributable interest in one or more
----------------------------------	---

Licensee/Permittee Name	FRN
CBS Broadcasting Inc.	000348211

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City
9610	WCBS-TV	NEW YORK
9617	WBBM-TV	CHICAGO
9628	KCBS-TV	LOS ANGELES
9629	WCCO-TV	MINNEAPOLIS
9640	KCCW-TV	WALKER
25452	KPIX-TV	SAN FRANCISCO
25453	KYW-TV	PHILADELPHIA
25454	KDKA-TV	PITTSBURGH
72123	WWJ-TV	DETROIT

Licensee/Permittee Name	FRN
CBS Television Stations Inc.	0004425

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
47902	WFOR-TV	MIAMI	FL
47903	KCNC-TV	DENVER	CO

Licensee/Permittee Name	FRN
Miami Television Station WBFS Inc.	00

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
12497	WBFS-TV	MIAMI	FL

Licensee/Permittee Name	FRN
CBS Television Licenses LLC	002107

Sacramento Television Stations Inc.

00

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
51499	KMAX-TV	SACRAMENTO	CA
56550	KOVR	STOCKTON	CA

Licensee/Permittee Name

San Francisco Television Station KBCW Inc.

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
69619	KPYX	SAN FRANCISCO	CA

Licensee/Permittee Name

FF

Atlanta Television Station WUPA Inc.

00

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
6900	WUPA	ATLANTA	GA

Licensee/Permittee Name

FRN

Television Station KTXA Inc.

0002057

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
51517	KTXA	FORT WORTH	TX

Licensee/Permittee Name

Pittsburgh Television Station WPCW Inc.

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
69880	WPKD-TV	JEANNETTE	PA

Licensee/Permittee Name

FRN

CBS Operations Investments Inc.

0020

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
70416	WBXI-CD	INDIANAPOLIS	IN

Licensee/Permittee Name	FRN
CBS LITY LLC	002135517

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
73206	WLNY-TV	RIVERHEAD	NY

Licensee/Permittee Name
Philadelphia Television Station WPSG Inc.

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
12499	WPSG	PHILADELPHIA	PA

Licensee/Permittee Name	FRN
The CW Television Stations Inc.	0003

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
23428	KSTW	TACOMA	WA

Licensee/Permittee Name	FRN
CBS Stations Group of Texas LLC.	002

Fac. ID No.	Call Sign	City	State
23422	KTVT	FORT WORTH	TX

Section II – Biennial Ownership Information

1. 47 C.F.R. Section 73.3613 and Other Documents

Licensee Respondents that hold authorizations for one or more full power television, AM, and/or contracts and other instruments set forth in 47 C.F.R. Section 73.3613(a) through (c) for the facility report. In addition, attributable Local Marketing Agreements (LMAs) and attributable Joint Sales disclosed by the licensee of the brokering station on its ownership report. If the agreement is an attributable JSA, or a network affiliation agreement, check the appropriate box. Otherwise, select Respondents, as well as Licensee Respondents that only hold authorizations for Class A televisi

Please see the Instructions for further detail concerning interests that must be reported in response to this question.

The Respondent must provide an FCC Registration Number for each interest holder reported in this section. Please see the Instructions for detailed information and guidance concerning this requirement.

Ownership Information

FRN	0029958717	
Entity Name	Sumner M. Redstone National Amusements Part B General Tr	
Address	PO Box	
	Street 1	846 University Avenue
	Street 2	
	City	Norwood
	State ("NA" if non-U.S. address)	MA
	Zip/Postal Code	02062-2631
	Country (if non-U.S. address)	United States
Listing Type	Respondent	
Positional Interests (check all that apply)	Respondent	
Tribal Nation or Tribal Entity	Interest holder is not a Tribal nation or Tribal entity	
Interest Percentages (enter percentage values from 0.0 to 100.0)	Voting	0.0%
	Equity	0.0%
	Total assets (Equity Debt Plus)	0.0%
Does interest holder have an attributable interest in one or more broadcast stations that do not appear on this report?		

Ownership Information

FRN	0019287812
------------	------------

	Country (if non-U.S. address)		United States	
Listing Type	Other Interest Holder			
Positional Interests (check all that apply)	Other - Trustee			
Citizenship, Gender, Ethnicity, and Race Information (Natural Persons Only)	Citizenship	US		
	Gender	Female		
	Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino		
	Race	White		
Interest Percentages (enter percentage values from 0.0 to 100.0)	Voting	14.3%		
	Equity	14.3%		
	Total assets (Equity Debt Plus)	0.0%		
Does interest holder have an attributable interest in one or more broadcast stations that do not appear on this report?				

Ownership Information

FRN	0023078280		
Name	Tyler J. Korff		
Address	PO Box		
	Street 1	846 University Avenue	
	Street 2		
	City	Norwood	
	State ("NA" if non-U.S. address)	MA	
	Zip/Postal Code	02062-2631	
	Country (if non-U.S. address)	United States	
Listing Type	Other Interest Holder		
Positional Interests	Other - Trustee		

Does interest holder have an attributable interest in one or more broadcast stations that do not appear on this report?

Ownership Information

FRN	0019283480	
Name	David R. Andelman	
Address	PO Box	
	Street 1	846 University Avenue
	Street 2	
	City	Norwood
	State ("NA" if non-U.S. address)	MA
	Zip/Postal Code	02062-2631
	Country (if non-U.S. address)	United States
Listing Type	Other Interest Holder	
Positional Interests (check all that apply)	Other - Trustee	
Citizenship, Gender, Ethnicity, and Race Information (Natural Persons Only)	Citizenship	US
	Gender	Male
	Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino
	Race	White
Interest Percentages (enter percentage values from 0.0 to 100.0)	Voting	14.3%
	Equity	14.3%
	Total assets (Equity Debt Plus)	0.0%

Does interest holder have an attributable interest in one or more broadcast stations that do not appear on this report?

Ownership Information

Listing Type	Other Interest Holder	
Positional Interests (check all that apply)	Other - Trustee	
Citizenship, Gender, Ethnicity, and Race Information (Natural Persons Only)	Citizenship	US
	Gender	Male
	Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino
	Race	White
Interest Percentages (enter percentage values from 0.0 to 100.0)	Voting	14.3%
	Equity	14.3%
	Total assets (Equity Debt Plus)	0.0%
Does interest holder have an attributable interest in one or more broadcast stations that do not appear on this report?		

Ownership Information

FRN	0019417971	
Name	Thaddeus P. Jankowski	
Address	PO Box	
	Street 1	846 University Avenue
	Street 2	
	City	Norwood
	State ("NA" if non-U.S. address)	MA
	Zip/Postal Code	02062-2631
	Country (if non-U.S. address)	United States
Listing Type	Other Interest Holder	
Positional Interests	Other - Trustee	

Does interest holder have an attributable interest in one or more broadcast stations that do not appear on this report?

Ownership Information

FRN	0027240225	
Name	Jill S. Krutick	
Address	PO Box	
	Street 1	846 University Avenue
	Street 2	
	City	Norwood
	State ("NA" if non-U.S. address)	MA
	Zip/Postal Code	02062-2631
	Country (if non-U.S. address)	United States
Listing Type	Other Interest Holder	
Positional Interests (check all that apply)	Other - Trustee	
Citizenship, Gender, Ethnicity, and Race Information (Natural Persons Only)	Citizenship	US
	Gender	Female
	Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino
	Race	White
Interest Percentages (enter percentage values from 0.0 to 100.0)	Voting	14.3%
	Equity	14.3%
	Total assets (Equity Debt Plus)	0.0%

Does interest holder have an attributable interest in one or more broadcast stations that do not appear on this report?

Ownership Information

FRN		
------------	--	--

	Country (If non-US, address)	United States
Listing Type	Other Interest Holder	
Positional Interests (check all that apply)	Other - Trustee	
Citizenship, Gender, Ethnicity, and Race Information (Natural Persons Only)	Citizenship	US
	Gender	Male
	Ethnicity	Not Hispanic or Latino
	Race	White
Interest Percentages (enter percentage values from 0.0 to 100.0)	Voting	14.3%
	Equity	14.3%
	Total assets (Equity Debt Plus)	0.0%

Does interest holder have an attributable interest in one or more broadcast stations that do not appear on this report?

(b) Respondent certifies that any interests, including equity, financial, or voting interests, not reported in this filing are non-attributable.

If "No," submit as an exhibit an explanation.

(c) Are any of the individuals listed as an attributable interest holder in the Respondent or related to each other as parent/child or as siblings?

If "Yes," provide the following information for each such relationship.

Family Relationships			
FRN	0019287812	Name	Shari
FRN	0023078280	Name	Tyler
Relationship	Parent/Child		

(d) Is Respondent seeking an attribution exemption for any officer or director with

Authorized Party to Sign	WILLFUL FALSE STATEMENTS ON THIS FORM ARE PUNISHABLE BY FINE AND/OR IMPRISONMENT (U.S. CODE, TITLE 18, SECTION 1001), AND /OR REVOCATION OF ANY STATION LICENSE --OR CONSTRUCTION PERMIT (U.S. CODE, TITLE 47, SECTION 312(a)(1)), AND/OR FORFEITURE (U.S. CODE, TITLE 47, SECTION 503).	
Certification	I certify that I have examined this report and that to the best of my knowledge and belief, all statements in this report are true, correct and complete.	Official Title: Trustee Exact Legal Title: Trustee Sumner M. Redd Part B General T Name: David R. . Phone: 78146116 11/21/2023